## **Table of Contents**

No.	Title & Citation	Pg. No.	
SESSION 1 JUDICIARY AND MEDIA: NEED FOR BALANCE			
1.	Justice G. Raghuram. 'Media as an Instrument of Public Accountability', NALSAR Media Law Review, Vol 3, NMLR 2013	2	
2.	Justice G. S. Singhvi. ' <i>Trial by Media: A Need to Regulate Freedom of</i> <i>Press</i> ', Bharati Law Review, Oct Dec., 2012	16	
3.	K.G. Balakrishnan, 'Reporting of Court Proceedings by Media and the Administration of Justice?', (2010) 6 SCC J-1	26	
4.	Lord Woolf. 'Should the Media and the Judiciary be on Speaking Terms?', Irish Jurist , 2003, New Series, Vol. 38 (2003), pp. 25-3	32	
5.	Sir Mark Potter. 'Do the Media Influence the Judiciary? A Judge's Perspective', Courts and the Making of Public Policy, The Court of Public Opinion: Justice, the Media, and Popular Will, Report and Analysis of a Workshop held at Queens College, Oxford, 8 July 2011 (Excerpt)	44	
6.	Judith Gibson, 'Social Media and the Electronic "New World" of Judges', Revista Forumul Judecatorilor – Nr. 1/2017	47	
7.	<b>'Use of Social Media by Judges'</b> , Declaration on Judicial Integrity adopted at the launch of the Global Judicial Integrity Network in April 2018, available at https://www.unodc.org/ji/en/restricted/network-launch. html	61	
8.	Pau de Vílchez Moragues. 'Press Freedom And Justice: The Necessary Search for an Impossible Equilibrium', Organizing Information, Regulating Communication, EU-Maghreb Exchange Between Judges and Journalists, United Nations University & CIDOB, (2012)	85	
	CASE LAW		
1.	The Chief Election Commissioner of India vs. M.R Vijayabhaskar & Ors., 2021 SCC Online SC 364	99	
2.	<b>Rajendra SAIL vs. M.P. High Court Bar Association &amp; Ors,</b> (2005) 6 SCC 109	114	
IN	SESSION 2 FRODUCTION OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN JUDICIAL SYS'	ТЕМ	
1.	Susskind, Richard. 'Artificial Intelligence and Long Term (Ch.18)', Tomorrow's Lawyers: An Introduction to your Future, Oxford University Press, 2 <sup>nd</sup> ed., 2017	135	

2.	Richard, M. Re & Alicia, Solow-Niederman. 'Developing Artificially Intelligent Justice', 22 STAN. TECH. L. REV. 242 (2019)	148
3.	Susskind, Richard. ' <i>The Computer Judge</i> ' (Ch. 27), Online Courts and the Future of Justice, Oxford University Press, (2019)	196
4.	Thomas Julius Buocz. 'Artificial Intelligence in Court: Legitimacy Problems of AI Assistance in the Judiciary', Copenhagen Journal of Legal Studies, Volume 2, Number 1, Spring 2018	211
5.	Scherer, Maxi. 'Artificial Intelligence and Legal Decision-Making: The Wide Open?', Journal of International Arbitration 36, no. 5 (2019): 539-574	230
6.	Tania Sourdin and Richard Cornes. 'Do Judges Need to be Human? The Implications of Technology for Responsive Judging', in The Responsive Judge, Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd. (2018)	266
7.	European Commission for The Efficiency Of Justice (CEPEJ) - <i>European</i> <i>ethical Charter on the use of Artificial Intelligence in judicial systems</i> <i>and their environment</i> , Adopted at the 31st plenary meeting of the CEPEJ (Strasbourg, 3-4 December 2018) (excerpt)	299
8.	Judge Herbert B. Dixon Jr. (Ret.). 'What Judges and Lawyers should Understand about Artificial Intelligence Technology' 59 (1) ABA 03 Feb (2020)	306
	SESSION 3 ROLE OF HIGH COURTS IN REFORMS IN LEGAL EDUCATION	
1.	N.R. Madhava Menon. ' <i>The Transformation of Indian Legal Education</i> ', Harvard Law School Program on the Legal Profession, 2012	310
2.	Edgar Bodenheimer, ' <i>Jurisprudence (The Philosophy and Method of the Law</i> )', Revised ed. Indian Reprint (2009) (excerpt pp. 401-403)	319
3.	<ul> <li>Reforming Legal Education System in Kerala: Goals, Strategies and Management, July 2009 (excerpt):</li> <li>Ch. 2 - Legal Education, Past &amp; Present: Historical Assessment (pp.7-16)</li> </ul>	322
	<ul> <li>Ch. 4 – Towards a socially relevant curriculum for development and Justice (Pp. 35-46)</li> </ul>	332
	Ch. 9 – Legal Education Vision 2020: A Snapshot (pp. 75-86)	344
4.	Dr. G. Mohan Gopal. 'Prof. N.R. Madhav Menon's Reflections on Legal and Judicial Education' (excerpt Chapters 6, 7 & 8), Universal Law Publishing Co. Pvt. ltd.	356, 362, 369
5.	Nirmal Kanti Chkrabarti. 'Skills Learning in Legal Education: Designing a Systemic Instructional Design for Law Schools in India', Journal of Indian Law Institute, Vol. 62, No. 1, Jan-Mar 2020	379

6.	Apurba Kumar Sharma. 'Importance of Legal Education and the Constitution of India', The Constitution of India Celebrating and Calibrating 70 Years by Lalit Bhasin, Law & Justice Publishing, 2021	403
7.	Hon. Walter S. Felton Jr., 'Do Judges have a meaningful role in Legal Education', Virginia Lawyer, Vol. 61, Oct 2012, Conclave 2012 Reporter	407
8.	Conference of Vice-Chancellors of National Law Universities on Legal Education Reforms, (excerpt Sessions I-IV), 1-2 September 2018	412
9.	Ch. V Standards of Legal Education, Legal Skills and Values (Mac Crate Report) and New Globalization Challenges and Accreditation; Ch. VII Adjunct Teachers from the Bar & Bench, (Pg 64-70)	460
	Law Commission of India 184th Report on The Legal Education & Professional Training and Proposals for Amendments to the Advocates Act, 1961 and the University Grants Commission Act, 1956, December, 2002 (excerpt)	
	SESSION 4 INNER RE-ENGINEERING FOR ATTITUDINAL CHANGES & BIAS	;
1.	Allison P. Harris and Maya Sen. ' <i>Bias and Judging</i> ', Annu. Rev. Political Sci. 2019. 22:241–5	482
2.	Tommy Birambeau, Stéphane Fischesser et.al. ' <i>How Judges Think -</i> <i>Understanding and Addressing Judicial Biases</i> ', EJTN, École Nationale de la Magistrature, France	503
3.	Jerry Kang, Judge Mark Bennett et al. ' <i>Implicit Bias in the Courtroom</i> ', 59 UCLA L. Rev. 1124 (2012)	524
4.	Debra Lyn Bassett. 'Deconstruct and Superstruct: Examining Bias Across the Legal System', University of California, Davis, Vol. 46:1563 (2013)	587
5.	Michele Benedetto Neitz. 'Socioeconomic Bias in the Judiciary', 61 CLEV. St. L. REV.137 (2013)	607
6.	Bernice Donald, Jeffrey RachlinskI, et.al., 'Getting Explicit about Implicit Bias', Judicature, Vol. 104 No. 3, Fall/Winter 2020-21	638
7.	Richard Posner. ' <i>Nine Theories of Judicial Behavior</i> ', How Judges Thing (excerpt Ch.1), Universal Law Publishing	644
8.	Anna Spain Bradley. ' <i>The Disruptive Neuroscience of Judicial Choice</i> ', UC Irvine Law Review [Vol. 9:1, 2018]	683